

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

TUESDAY, THE 18TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 27TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 27648 of 2007(N)

PETITIONER:

N.P.RAJAN @ RAJU PAUL, S/O PAUL,
AGED 57 YEARS, RESIDING AT NARUTHURUTHIL HOUSE,
PEREPADAM ROAD, CHALIKKAVATTOM, VENNALA P.O.,
KOCHI-28.

BY ADV. SRI.C.K.PAVITHRAN

RESPONDENTS:

1. K.A.SABU,
POOVATHUMMOOTTILKADAVIL,
EDAPPALLY P.O., KOCHI-24.
2. M/S.APPLE A DAY PROPERTIES PVT. LTD.,
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR,
N.H.BYE PASS, EDAPALLY, KOCHI-24.
3. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
EAST ZONAL OFFICE,
CORPORATION OF COCHIN, VYTTILA, COCHIN-29.
4. DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
ERNAKULAM.

R4 BY SR.GOV.T.PLEADER SRI.K.J.MOHAMMED ANZAR.
R3 BY ADV.SRI.S.RAMESH BABU, SC.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 18/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

.....
W.P.(C)No.27648 OF 2007

.....
DATED THIS THE 18TH SEPTEMBER, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Ext.P4 proceedings issued by the District Collector in response to the directions in Ext.P3 judgment is under challenge. Having gone through Ext.P4 and having heard the extensive submissions addressed before me by Mr.C.K.Pavithran, learned counsel for the petitioner and the submissions of Mr.K.J.Mohammed Anzar, learned Government Pleader as also the submissions of Mr.S.Ramesh Babu, Standing Counsel for the Cochin Corporation, I do not find any warrant for interference with Ext.P4. I dismiss the Writ Petition. However, it is made clear that this judgment will not foreclose the petitioner's remedy before the regular civil court.

(PIUS C.KURIAKOSE, JUDGE)

tgl

WP(C)N0.

WP(C)N0.